

RatingsDirect®

Summary:

Perryville, Missouri; Appropriations; General Obligation

Primary Credit Analyst:

Benjamin D Gallovic, Chicago (312) 233-7070; benjamin.gallovic@standardandpoors.com

Secondary Contact:

Katilyn Pulcher, ASA, CERA, Chicago (1) 312-233-7055; katilyn.pulcher@standardandpoors.com

Table Of Contents

Rationale

Outlook

Related Criteria And Research

Summary:

Perryville, Missouri; Appropriations; General Obligation

Credit Profile

Perryville COPs		
<i>Long Term Rating</i>	A+/Stable	Upgraded
Perryville ICR		
<i>Long Term Rating</i>	AA-/Stable	Upgraded

Rationale

Standard & Poor's Ratings Services raised its issuer credit rating (ICR) to 'AA-' from 'A' on Perryville, Mo., based on the application of our local general obligation (GO) criteria released Sept. 12, 2013. We also raised our long-term rating to 'A+' from 'A-' on the city's series 2012 certificates of participation (COPs). The rating on the COPs is set one notch below the ICR, reflecting annual appropriation risk. The outlook is stable.

Securing the COPs are Perryville's rental payments made pursuant to a lease purchase agreement between the city and First Bank of Missouri as lessor.

The 'AA-' ICR reflects our assessment of the following factors for the city:

- We consider Perryville's budgetary performance very strong overall. The city ended fiscal 2013 (March 31) with a \$761,000 general fund surplus (20.7% of expenditures), which management attributes to conservative budgeting and higher-than-anticipated sales tax revenue. After adjusting for one-time capital expenditures and annual transfers in from the city's enterprise funds, the city posted an estimated 22.6% surplus for total governmental funds. For fiscal 2014, the city budgeted for a \$12,000 general fund deficit, but management reports that it ended the year with an estimated \$673,000 surplus, again accredited to conservative budgeting. We anticipate at least balanced operations across all governmental funds in 2015 as well. Sales taxes accounted for 48% of general fund revenue in 2013, followed by utility taxes (35%) and property taxes (16%). Sales tax revenue has been stable during the past several years.
- We view Perryville's budgetary flexibility as very strong, with available reserves at 144.6% of adjusted operating expenditures in fiscal 2013 (ended March 31). In addition to \$1.1 million available reserves in its general fund, the city has \$5.3 million in unrestricted net assets in its gas utility fund. Management reports that it can use this for general fund operations without a legal requirement to pay back. On an unaudited basis, the city added about \$673,000 to its general fund and \$950,000 to its gas utility fund in fiscal 2014. The city plans to use reserves for infrastructure improvements during the next several years, but will target maintaining a balance of 25% of operating expenditures in both the general and gas utility funds. We believe that the total available fund balance will remain greater than 75% during the next two years, which is a credit strength.
- In our opinion, very strong liquidity supports Perryville's finances, with total government available cash to adjusted governmental funds expenditures at 199% and cash to debt service at more than 18.2x. Based on past issuance of debt, we believe that the city has strong access to capital markets to provide for liquidity needs if necessary.

- Perryville's management conditions are strong, in our opinion, with "standard" financial practices under our financial management assessment (FMA) methodology, indicating our view that the government maintains adequate policies in some but not all key areas. We revised our assessment to "standard" from "good" based on the understanding that the city no longer updates its long-term comprehensive capital plan on an annual basis. Strengths of the assessment, in our opinion, include strong revenue and expenditure assumptions in the budgeting process, strong oversight in terms of monitoring progress against the budget during the year, and an informal policy to maintain at least 25% of expenditures in every operating fund. The city plans to formalize this policy in fiscal 2015. Despite the absence of some formal policies, we believe management has maintained balanced operations, which is a credit strength. The city has posted four consecutive general fund surpluses, and we anticipate that management will maintain balanced operations for all governmental funds in 2015 and 2016.
- Perryville's debt and contingent liability profile is, in our opinion, very strong. Net direct debt is 44.9% of adjusted total governmental funds revenue, and total governmental funds debt service is 10.9% of adjusted total governmental funds expenditures. Approximately 94.4% of the debt will be repaid over 10 years. In addition, overall net debt is a low 1.2% of market value. Both of these are credit strengths. Perryville's employees participate in the Missouri Local Government Employees Retirement System (LAGERS). In 2013, Perryville's contribution to LAGERS was \$539,000, which equaled 82% of its annual required contribution set by state statutes and 7.2% of total governmental funds expenditures. The city does not subsidize retiree health care for its employees.
- In our view, Perryville's local economy is very weak, with projected per capita effective buying income at 91.4% of the national average and per capita market value at roughly \$64,200. Perryville, which is the seat for Perry County, is in southeast Missouri, approximately 70 miles south of St. Louis and 30 miles north of Cape Girardeau. The city is not within a metropolitan statistical area. The city's estimated population is 8,410. Most residents work within the city, and the population grows significantly during the day, as many nonresidents commute to Perryville for work. In our opinion, the county's employment base is concentrated, with manufacturing accounting for 35% of total employment. This is a credit weakness. Leading city employers include Gilster-Mary Lee Corp. (1,606 employees), TG Missouri Corp. (1,200), and Sabreliner Corp. (425). The city's top 10 taxpayers account for 29.8% of total assessed valuation (AV). Total AV grew 4.6% in 2013 to \$136.1 million. In 2013, the county's 4.6% unemployment rate was lower than the state (6.5%) and national (7.4%) rates.
- We consider the Institutional Framework score for Missouri municipalities adequate.

Outlook

The stable outlook reflects our belief that the city will maintain very strong budgetary flexibility and liquidity in the two-year outlook horizon. We do not anticipate changing the rating in the two-year outlook horizon because we believe that management will make the budgetary adjustments it deems necessary to maintain balanced operations. If budgetary performance does worsen, leading to significant deterioration in budgetary flexibility, we could lower the rating. In our opinion, the city's very weak economy, which is concentrated in the manufacturing sector, limits upward rating potential.

Related Criteria And Research

Related Criteria

- USPF Criteria: Local Government GO Ratings Methodology And Assumptions, Sept. 12, 2013
- USPF Criteria: Appropriation-Backed Obligations, June 13, 2007

Related Research

- U.S. Not-For-Profit Health Care Providers Hone Their Strategies To Manage Transition Risk, May 16, 2012
- S&P Public Finance Local GO Criteria: How We Adjust Data For Analytic Consistency, Sept. 12, 2013
- Institutional Framework Overview: Missouri Local Governments

Complete ratings information is available to subscribers of RatingsDirect at www.globalcreditportal.com. All ratings affected by this rating action can be found on Standard & Poor's public Web site at www.standardandpoors.com. Use the Ratings search box located in the left column.

Copyright © 2014 Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC, a part of McGraw Hill Financial. All rights reserved.

No content (including ratings, credit-related analyses and data, valuations, model, software or other application or output therefrom) or any part thereof (Content) may be modified, reverse engineered, reproduced or distributed in any form by any means, or stored in a database or retrieval system, without the prior written permission of Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC or its affiliates (collectively, S&P). The Content shall not be used for any unlawful or unauthorized purposes. S&P and any third-party providers, as well as their directors, officers, shareholders, employees or agents (collectively S&P Parties) do not guarantee the accuracy, completeness, timeliness or availability of the Content. S&P Parties are not responsible for any errors or omissions (negligent or otherwise), regardless of the cause, for the results obtained from the use of the Content, or for the security or maintenance of any data input by the user. The Content is provided on an "as is" basis. S&P PARTIES DISCLAIM ANY AND ALL EXPRESS OR IMPLIED WARRANTIES, INCLUDING, BUT NOT LIMITED TO, ANY WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE OR USE, FREEDOM FROM BUGS, SOFTWARE ERRORS OR DEFECTS, THAT THE CONTENT'S FUNCTIONING WILL BE UNINTERRUPTED, OR THAT THE CONTENT WILL OPERATE WITH ANY SOFTWARE OR HARDWARE CONFIGURATION. In no event shall S&P Parties be liable to any party for any direct, indirect, incidental, exemplary, compensatory, punitive, special or consequential damages, costs, expenses, legal fees, or losses (including, without limitation, lost income or lost profits and opportunity costs or losses caused by negligence) in connection with any use of the Content even if advised of the possibility of such damages.

Credit-related and other analyses, including ratings, and statements in the Content are statements of opinion as of the date they are expressed and not statements of fact. S&P's opinions, analyses, and rating acknowledgment decisions (described below) are not recommendations to purchase, hold, or sell any securities or to make any investment decisions, and do not address the suitability of any security. S&P assumes no obligation to update the Content following publication in any form or format. The Content should not be relied on and is not a substitute for the skill, judgment and experience of the user, its management, employees, advisors and/or clients when making investment and other business decisions. S&P does not act as a fiduciary or an investment advisor except where registered as such. While S&P has obtained information from sources it believes to be reliable, S&P does not perform an audit and undertakes no duty of due diligence or independent verification of any information it receives.

To the extent that regulatory authorities allow a rating agency to acknowledge in one jurisdiction a rating issued in another jurisdiction for certain regulatory purposes, S&P reserves the right to assign, withdraw, or suspend such acknowledgement at any time and in its sole discretion. S&P Parties disclaim any duty whatsoever arising out of the assignment, withdrawal, or suspension of an acknowledgement as well as any liability for any damage alleged to have been suffered on account thereof.

S&P keeps certain activities of its business units separate from each other in order to preserve the independence and objectivity of their respective activities. As a result, certain business units of S&P may have information that is not available to other S&P business units. S&P has established policies and procedures to maintain the confidentiality of certain nonpublic information received in connection with each analytical process.

S&P may receive compensation for its ratings and certain analyses, normally from issuers or underwriters of securities or from obligors. S&P reserves the right to disseminate its opinions and analyses. S&P's public ratings and analyses are made available on its Web sites, www.standardandpoors.com (free of charge), and www.ratingsdirect.com and www.globalcreditportal.com (subscription) and www.spcapitaliq.com (subscription) and may be distributed through other means, including via S&P publications and third-party redistributors. Additional information about our ratings fees is available at www.standardandpoors.com/usratingsfees.